



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/657,041

09/05/2000

Leonard Pinchuk

BSI-430US8

9622

23122 7590 01/14/2009  
RATNERPRESTIA  
P.O. BOX 980  
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482

EXAMINER

SEVERSON, RYAN J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3731

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/14/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/657,041	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PINCHUK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ryan Severson	<b>Art Unit</b> 3731	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ryan Severson. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Joseph E. Maenner. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 40.

Identification of prior art discussed: Martin (5,575,817).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Maenner proposed amendments to claim 40. Examiner agreed that if the amendment is submitted as proposed, it would overcome the outstanding rejection. Mr. Maenner also submitted a proposed supplemental reissue declaration. Examiner notes that the "at least one error" set forth in the proposed supplemental declaration is sufficient to meet the error identification requirement of reissue applications.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ryan Severson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3731	
--	--